

Teaching Evaluation Summary (2007-2008 Autumn)

Comment Summary

Instructor: Lee, Jonathan
Subject: MATH
Catalog & Section: 51, 8

Course Title: LIN ALG AND MULTIVAR CALCULUS
Enrollment: 17 Responses Incl Declines: 17
(Declined: 0)

1. Please comment on the section leader's knowledge and presentation of the material and how well he/she coordinated the sections with lectures.

Strengths

- He helped a lot
- -clearly knew the material -liked how he wrote everything out, assuming no student prior knowledge
- Quickly picked up on methods to teach.
- very good explanations of material that clarified lectures. often had better explanations of concepts than in lecture
- depth of knowledge
- Knew material very well. Explained material to make lecture clearer.
- Smart and far more helpful than lecture.
- Mr. Lee was always well prepared and imparted knowledge effectively. I learned most of the material through him.
- -better than the professor at presenting the material and explaining it
- He knew the material well and reinforced the material from lecture, which was just what I needed.
- Jon understood the material, conveyed it clearly, and was actually able to explain things in ways that made sense.

Suggestions for Improvement

- He should not empty all the class in solving one exercise only.
- Teaching style in the beginning was very poor and unclear but started to get a little better as time went on with comments from his students.
- went over class time often
- -sometimes got sidetracked in messy calculations: could have had those calculations worked out before section because row-reducing a matrix for five minutes is not really helpful and wastes time
- None.
- Have him teach the course?

2. Please comment on the section leader's effectiveness as a discussion leader, his/her ability to answer questions and keep the discussion on track.

Strengths

- -good
- tried to answer every question as well as possible
- he seemed fine, i already understood much of what we went over
- Answered questions very clearly at a level students can understand
- Very good.
- Mr. Lee was always willing to answer questions during section. He never digressed far from the main topic. He was a good discussion leader.
- -always answered students questions
- He did a good job of taking questions and suggestions and going in the direction that the class needed to go.
- Jon was awesome.

Suggestions for Improvement

- -sometimes spent too much time on one questions
- Work on answering questions more concisely.
- Section typically went over time.

3. Please comment on the attitude of the section leader towards the students and his/her availability outside of class.

Strengths

- He had a good attitude toward questions
- -very helpful, willing to meet with students
- very helpful and available outside class. focused on what students wanted to learn
- Friendly
- Always willing to help a student outside of class
- Knew all our names.
- Mr. Lee was very willing to see students outside of class.
- -very available outside of class and receptive to students needs
- He felt more like a helpful companion than a teacher, and though I never took advantage of it, was always available outside of class, especially around test time.
- Jon was very friendly and approachable. He always offered flexible office hours and even had a website of notes for us.

Suggestions for Improvement

- None.

4. Please comment on the overall performance of the section leader.

Strengths

- He is highly recommendable
- excellent TA
- pretty good
- Great at his job
- Great.
- Overall, Mr. Lee was a fabulous section leader, and I would love to have him again if I could.
- -Jon was the only reason I understood most of the concepts in the course. Thanks!
- Was a good complement to the lecture.
- I love Jon Lee!
- Section is where I really came to learn the material for Math 51, and Jon really helped in my understanding of it.

Suggestions for Improvement

- Not too much to say here.